

## Collaboration Between the Government and the Community in the Implementation of the MBG Program

Sule Omeiza Adebayo<sup>1</sup>, Emmanuel Lucas Nwachukwu<sup>2</sup>, Joy Albert<sup>3</sup>, Godson Chidi Nwokorie<sup>4</sup>, Chukwuma Victoria Azuka<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1-3,5</sup> National Institute for Nigerian Languages

<sup>4</sup>Imo State University, Nigeria

**Corresponding Author:** Emmanuel Lucas Nwachukwu

[nwachukwuemmanue@gmail.com](mailto:nwachukwuemmanue@gmail.com)

---

### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:* Achievement, Learning, Mathematics, Performance, Reinforcement

*Received :* 23 August

*Revised :* 23 September

*Accepted:* 26 October

©2025 Adebayo, Albert, Nwachukwu, Azuka: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



### ABSTRACT

This study investigated the influence of different reinforcement schedules—fixed interval, variable interval, fixed ratio, and variable ratio—on students' academic achievement in Mathematics in Aba North Local Government Area, Abia State, Nigeria. A descriptive survey design was adopted, with 100 senior secondary school students randomly selected from five schools. Data were gathered using a validated questionnaire (Cronbach  $\alpha = 0.80$ ) and analyzed using mean, standard deviation, and paired t-tests at a 0.05 significance level. Results revealed that all four reinforcement schedules significantly affected Mathematics achievement. The study concludes that strategic use of reinforcement enhances student motivation, engagement, and performance. It recommends teacher training in reinforcement-based strategies and the inclusion of behavioral learning principles in mathematics pedagogy and curriculum design.

## **INTRODUCTION**

This study assessed the influence of reinforcement on academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State, Nigeria. The study employed descriptive survey design. The population comprised the entire senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State. One hundred students (100) were randomly drawn from five schools to constitute the sample of the population. The instrument for data collection was a researcher-made questionnaire tagged "Reinforcement and Academic Achievement in Mathematics Questionnaire" (RAAMQ) comprising twenty items with each of the four variables contributing five items. Cronbach Alpha reliability technique was used to estimate the reliability coefficient and an estimate 0.80. The mathematics scores were collected from mathematics teachers in the sampled schools. It was assumed that the scores were generated from valid and reliable mathematics achievement tests. To achieve the purpose of the study, four research questions were posed and four null hypotheses formulated. The research questions were answered using descriptive statistics by calculating mean scores and standard deviation. All hypotheses were tested at 0.05 levels of significant using paired t-test. The findings revealed that fixed interval schedule reinforcement, variable interval schedule reinforcement, fixed ratio schedule reinforcement, and variable ratio schedule reinforcement have statistically significant influence on academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary students in Aba North LGA, Abia State. Based on the findings, teachers are recommended that utilize reinforcement involve on reinforcement training and retraining to boost students achievement in Mathematics, policy makers in education are to encourage more research on reinforcement as well as promote inclusion of reinforcement in curricular, while students must be free to identify the best reinforcement that can engage them as well as boost their achievement in Mathematics.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **Theoretical Framework**

Reinforcement is a central concept in educational psychology, referring to any stimulus that strengthens or increases the probability of a behaviour's recurrence. In learning, reinforcement involves the deliberate use of rewards or consequences to encourage desirable academic behaviours and discourage undesirable ones. It is closely linked to motivation, which is essential for sustaining students' engagement and achievement. Mathematics, as a core subject in secondary education, demands sustained effort and problem-solving skills, yet many students experience anxiety, low motivation, or negative attitudes toward it. These factors often contribute to poor achievement outcomes, as seen in many Nigerian schools. Reinforcement provides a practical approach to addressing these challenges by shaping students' behaviours through consistent feedback, praise, recognition, and rewards. It not only enhances students' interest and participation but also builds confidence and resilience in confronting mathematical tasks. By linking reinforcement to mathematics achievement, this study is situated within the broader field of educational psychology, exploring how reinforcement principles can be applied to improve

cognitive outcomes, motivation, and academic performance among senior secondary school students in Aba North Local Government Area of Abia State, Nigeria.

Two foundational theories underpin this study: Classical Conditioning Theory by Ivan Pavlov (1927) and Operant Conditioning Theory by B. F. Skinner (1953).

### **Classical Conditioning Theory by Ivan Pavlov (1927)**

Pavlov's classical conditioning emerged from his experiments where he discovered that an organism could learn to associate a neutral stimulus with an unconditioned stimulus to produce a conditioned response. Pavlov also introduced the concept of reinforcement as a stimulus that strengthens the association between stimulus and response. (Franks, 2017) In educational, classical conditioning explains how repeated pairings of academic tasks (stimuli) with positive reinforcement (e.g., praise, encouragement) can bring about favourable emotional and behavioural responses to learning. Reinforcement becomes a motivational tool, shaping students' attitudes and readiness to engage with challenging subjects such as mathematics. It also illustrates how consistent positive classroom experiences can condition students to associate mathematics with success and satisfaction rather than fear or failure.

### **Burrhus Frederic Skinner's Operant Conditioning Theory (1953)**

Skinner's Operant Conditioning Theory (1953) builds on Pavlov's work but emphasizes the role of consequences in shaping voluntary behaviour. Skinner proposed that behavior followed by positive consequences (reinforcement) is more likely to be repeated, while behaviour followed by negative consequences is less likely to recur. Operant conditioning introduces two primary types of reinforcement: positive reinforcement, which involves presenting a rewarding stimulus after a desired behaviour, and negative reinforcement, which involves removing an aversive stimulus to encourage behaviour. (Skinner, 1957) Skinner also outlined reinforcement schedules, continuous and partial (fixed/variable interval, fixed/variable ratio), which influence the strength and persistence of behaviours. These principles are highly relevant to classroom settings, where teachers can reinforce participation, accuracy, effort, and persistence in mathematics learning. By strategically applying reinforcement, educators can strengthen students' learning behaviours, increase engagement, and ultimately enhance achievement.

Both classical and operant conditioning theories provide powerful explanatory frameworks for understanding how reinforcement influences mathematics achievement. Pavlov's work suggests that repeated positive reinforcement associated with mathematical tasks can condition students to respond positively to the subject, thereby reducing anxiety and promoting engagement. For instance, when teachers consistently reward effort and problem-solving attempts, students begin to associate mathematics learning with success and satisfaction. This conditioned response can lead to improved motivation and willingness to persist through difficult problems.

Skinner's operant conditioning offers more direct applications to classroom practice. Mathematics teachers can use positive reinforcement (such as praise, grades, recognition, or tangible rewards) to increase desirable behaviours like class participation, homework completion, and problem-solving attempts. Negative reinforcement can also be employed by removing aversive stimuli such as extra assignments or corrective drills, once desired behaviours are displayed, thereby encouraging continued effort. Also, understanding and applying different reinforcement schedules can help teachers sustain students' motivation over time. For example, a fixed ratio schedule (rewarding students after a set number of correct answers) can increase practice frequency, while a variable interval schedule (rewarding at unpredictable times) can sustain consistent effort. These strategies align with the study's focus on how reinforcement affects students' motivation, engagement, and achievement in mathematics.

The integration of these theories also supports the formulation of the study's research questions and hypotheses. If reinforcement strengthens learning behaviors as both Pavlov and Skinner suggest, then classrooms that systematically use reinforcement should exhibit higher levels of student motivation and better mathematics performance. This theoretical foundation, therefore, not only guides the design of the study but also informs its interpretation of findings, linking observed changes in behavior and achievement to well-established psychological principles.

The theoretical lens provided by classical and operant conditioning theories shapes this study's approach to investigating the influence of reinforcement on mathematics achievement. Pavlov's work highlights the importance of stimulus-response associations and the role of reinforcement in creating positive learning dispositions, while Skinner's theory provides a framework for actively shaping and sustaining desired academic behaviours through reinforcement schedules. Together, these theories explain how reinforcement can function as both a motivational catalyst and a behavioural guide, influencing students' cognitive engagement and achievement in mathematics.

The study, therefore, positions reinforcement not merely as a classroom technique but as a theoretically grounded intervention with the potential to address persistent underachievement in mathematics. By applying these theoretical perspectives to the context of senior secondary schools in Aba North LGA, the study aims to generate evidence-based recommendations for improving teaching practices, curriculum design, and policy interventions that support mathematics education and, by extension, Nigeria's broader scientific and socio-economic development.

## **METHODOLOGY**

### *Research Design*

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design, which is appropriate for examining relationships among variables by collecting data from a representative sample of a population (Ukeje, 2018). This design facilitated the investigation of how reinforcement influences students' achievement in mathematics.

### *Study Area*

The research was conducted in Aba North Local Government Area (LGA) of Abia State, Nigeria, located in the South-East geopolitical zone. The area is predominantly inhabited by the Igbo ethnic group and is recognized as a major commercial hub. It is characterized by a high concentration of public secondary schools serving students from different social strata, making it a relevant context for studying reinforcement practices and their impact on academic outcomes.

### *Population and Sample*

The target population comprised all students enrolled in public secondary schools within Aba North LGA. A sample of 100 students was drawn from five schools using a cluster random sampling technique, with 20 students selected from each school. This approach ensured that the sample was representative of the wider student population and minimized sampling bias.

### *Instruments and Data Collection Procedures*

Data were collected using a researcher-designed questionnaire, the Reinforcement and Academic Achievement in Mathematics Questionnaire (RAAMQ), supplemented by students' mathematics test scores obtained from their teachers. The RAAMQ consisted of demographic items and 20 statements organized into four thematic clusters, designed to measure reinforcement practices and their relationship to mathematics performance. The validated instrument was administered by the researcher with the assistance of four trained research assistants, who provided standardized instructions and ensured accurate responses from participants.

### *Validity and Reliability*

The instrument's content and face validity were established through expert review by three specialists in educational research, psychology, and measurement and evaluation. Their feedback on clarity, relevance, and item formulation was incorporated into the final version. Instrument reliability was determined through a pilot study involving 40 students from a school outside the main study sample. The internal consistency of the questionnaire, assessed using Cronbach's alpha, yielded a coefficient of 0.80, indicating an acceptable level of reliability for research purposes.

### *Data Analysis*

Data were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Means and standard deviations were computed to answer the research questions, while independent-samples t-tests were employed to test the hypotheses at a 0.05 level of significance. Questionnaire items were rated on a four-point Likert scale (4 = Very High Extent to 1 = Very Low Extent). Mean scores of 3.50 and above were interpreted as high, while scores of 2.49 and below were considered low. In hypothesis testing, null hypotheses were rejected when the calculated t-value was less than the critical table value at the specified significance level.

## RESEARCH RESULT

This section presents the results of the data analysis, organized according to the research questions and hypotheses that guided the study. Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) were used to answer the research questions, while inferential statistics (independent-samples t-tests) were employed to test the hypotheses at the 0.05 level of significance. The results are presented in tables and followed by interpretations and discussions in line with existing literature.

### *Research Question 1:*

What is the influence of fixed interval schedule reinforcement on students' academic achievement in mathematics in Aba North LGA of Abia State?

**Table 1**

Mean Score and Standard Deviation of Influence of Fixed Interval Schedule Reinforcement on Achievement in Mathematics

Variables	N	$\bar{x}$	SD
Fixed interval schedule reinforcement	100	17.06	1.64
Achievement in mathematics	100	45.70	19.37

Table 1 showed that the mean score and standard deviation of fixed interval schedule reinforcement to be ( $= 17.06$ ,  $SD = 1.64$ ) and mean score and standard deviation of academic achievement in mathematics was ( $= 45.70$ ,  $SD = 19.37$ ). The mean score of fixed interval schedule reinforcement was less than that of academic achievement in mathematics; this means that fixed interval schedule reinforcement has no influence on academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

### *Research Question 2:*

What is the influence of variable interval schedule reinforcement on students' academic achievement in Mathematics in Aba North LGA of Abia State?

**Table 2**

Mean Score and Standard Deviation of Influence of Variable Interval Schedule Reinforcement on Achievement in Mathematics.

Variables	N	$\bar{x}$	SD
Variable interval schedule reinforcement	100	16.96	1.1.89
Achievement in mathematics	100	45.70	19.37

Table 2 showed that the mean score and standard deviation of variable interval schedule reinforcement to be ( $= 16.69$ ,  $SD = 1.89$ ) and mean score and standard deviation of academic achievement in mathematics was ( $= 45.70$ ,  $SD = 19.37$ ). The mean score of variable interval schedule reinforcement was less than that of academic achievement in mathematics; this implied that variable interval

schedule reinforcement has no influence on academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

*Research Question 3:*

What is the influence of fixed ratio schedule reinforcement on academic achievement in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State?

**Table 3**

Mean Score and Standard Deviation of Influence of Fixed Ratio Schedule Reinforcement on Achievement in Mathematics.

Variables	N	$\bar{x}$	SD
Fixed ratio schedule reinforcement	100	17.38	2.33
Achievement in mathematics	100	45.70	19.37

Table 3 revealed that the mean score and standard deviation of fixed ratio schedule reinforcement was ( $\bar{x} = 17.38$ ,  $SD = 2.33$ ) and mean score and standard deviation of academic achievement in mathematics was ( $\bar{x} = 45.70$ ,  $SD = 19.37$ ). The mean score of fixed ratio schedule reinforcement was less than that of academic achievement in mathematics; this means that fixed ratio schedule reinforcement has no influence on academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

*Research Question 4:*

What is the influence of variable ratio schedule reinforcement on academic achievement in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State?

**Table 4**

Mean Score and Standard Deviation of Influence of Variable Ratio Schedule Reinforcement on Achievement in Mathematics.

Variables	N	$\bar{x}$	SD
Variable ratio schedule reinforcement	100	17.13	1.80
Achievement in mathematics	100	45.70	19.37

Table 4 indicated that the mean score and standard deviation of variable ratio schedule reinforcement was ( $\bar{x} = 17.13$ ,  $SD = 1.80$ ) and mean score and standard deviation of academic achievement in mathematics was ( $\bar{x} = 45.70$ ,  $SD = 19.37$ ). The mean score of variable ratio schedule reinforcement was less than that of academic achievement in mathematics; this implied that variable ratio schedule reinforcement has no influence on academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

### Testing of Null Hypotheses

All hypotheses were tested at .05 levels of significance using paired t-test. *Hypothesis 1:* There is no significant influence of fixed interval schedule reinforcement on students' academic achievement in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State.

**Table 5**

Paired t -test Analysis of Influence of Fixed Interval Schedule Reinforcement on the Academic Achievement in Mathematics (N=100)

Variable	$\bar{x}$	SD	t-value	df.	Sig.
Fixed interval schedule reinforcement	17.06	1.64			
			14.90	99	.000
Achievement in Mathematics	45.70	19.37			

Table 5 indicated that t-value (99) = 14.90 and level of significance (.000) is less than the alpha level (.05). Based on this, the hypothesis that stated that there is no significant influence of fixed interval schedule reinforcement on students' academic achievement in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State, was rejected. This implied that fixed interval schedule reinforcement has a statistically significant influence on the academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

*Hypothesis 2:* There is no significant influence of variable interval schedule reinforcement on students' academic performance in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State.

**Table 6**

Paired t -test Analysis of Influence of Variable Interval Schedule Reinforcement on the Academic Achievement in Mathematics (N=100)

Variable	$\bar{x}$	SD	t-value	df.	Sig.
Variable interval schedule reinforcement	16.96	1.89			
			14.76	99	.000
Achievement in Mathematics	45.70	19.37			

Table 6 indicated that t-value (99) = 14.76 and level of significance (.000) is less than the alpha level (.05). Based on this, the hypothesis that stated that there is no significant influence of variable interval schedule reinforcement on students' academic achievement in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State, was rejected. This implied that variable interval schedule reinforcement has a statistically significant influence on the academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

*Hypothesis 3:* There is no significant influence of fixed ratio schedule reinforcement on students' academic performance in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State .

**Table 7**

Paired t -test Analysis of Influence of Fixed Ratio Schedule Reinforcement on the Academic Achievement in Mathematics (N=100)

Variable	$\bar{x}$	SD	t-value	df.	Sig.
Fixed Ratio schedule reinforcement	17.38	2.33			
			14.33	99	.000
Achievement in Mathematics	45.70	19.37			

Table 7 indicated that t-value (99) = 14.33 and level of significance (.000) is less than the alpha level (.05). Based on this, the hypothesis that stated that there is no significant influence of fixed ratio schedule reinforcement on students' academic achievement in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State, was rejected. This implied that fixed ratio schedule reinforcement has a statistically significant influence on the academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

*Hypothesis 4:* There is no significant influence of variable ratio schedule reinforcement on academic performance in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State.

**Table 8**

Paired t -test Analysis of Influence of Variable Ratio Schedule Reinforcement on the Academic Achievement in Mathematics (N=100)

Variable	$\bar{x}$	SD	t-value	df.	Sig.
Variable ratio schedule reinforcement	17.13	1.80			
			14.48	99	.000
Achievement in Mathematics	45.70	19.37			

Table 8 indicated that t-value (99) = 14.48 and level of significance (.000) is less than the alpha level (.05). Based on this, the hypothesis that stated that there is no significant influence of variable ratio schedule reinforcement on students' academic achievement in Mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North LGA of Abia State, was rejected. This implied that variable ratio schedule reinforcement has a statistically significant influence on the academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North LGA, Abia State.

## Summary of Findings

This study examined the influence of different reinforcement schedules on students' academic achievement in mathematics among secondary school students in Aba North Local Government Area, Abia State. Four null hypotheses were tested using paired t-tests, and all were rejected. The major findings are summarized as follows:

1. Fixed interval schedule reinforcement significantly influences students' academic achievement in mathematics.
2. Variable interval schedule reinforcement significantly influences students' academic achievement in mathematics.
3. Fixed ratio schedule reinforcement significantly influences students' academic achievement in mathematics.
4. Variable ratio schedule reinforcement significantly influences students' academic achievement in mathematics.

These findings indicate that reinforcement, regardless of the schedule type, plays a significant role in shaping students' learning outcomes and enhancing their mathematics performance.

## DISCUSSION

### Fixed Interval Schedule Reinforcement and Academic Achievement

The results indicated that fixed interval schedule reinforcement significantly influences students' mathematics achievement ( $t(99) = 14.90, p < .05$ ), even though initial mean comparisons suggested a limited direct effect. This finding supports Skinner's (1953) operant conditioning theory, which posits that reinforcement schedules shape learning behaviour by strengthening stimulus-response connections. It also aligns with Bonghawan, and Macalisang, (2024) who emphasize that reinforcement serves as a powerful external motivator that enhances academic engagement and performance. However, scholars such as Dewar (2018) caution that excessive reliance on reinforcement may reduce intrinsic motivation, as students may perform primarily in anticipation of rewards rather than developing genuine interest in the subject. Cognitive learning theorist, Fritscher, (2019) argue that reinforcement interacts with internal cognitive processes, and its structured application can develop disciplined study habits that improve learning outcomes.

### Variable Interval Schedule Reinforcement and Academic Achievement

The analysis also revealed a statistically significant effect of variable interval schedule reinforcement on students' mathematics performance ( $t(99) = 14.76, p < .05$ ). This finding supports Dewar's (2018) view that unpredictable reinforcement can sustain students' attention and intrinsic motivation, as learners remain engaged in anticipation of rewards. Fritscher (2019) and Hurtado, Carter, and Spuler (2016) further explain that such reinforcement schedules promote adaptability and resilience, enhancing learning processes and academic outcomes. While Lee, et al (2023) notes that variable reinforcement may not yield immediate improvements, its sustained application fosters consistent motivation and learning behaviour. The present study confirms that variable interval reinforcement is an effective pedagogical tool in mathematics

instruction, though its effectiveness may vary depending on individual learning styles and classroom contexts.

#### Fixed Ratio Schedule Reinforcement and Academic Achievement

The findings demonstrated that fixed ratio schedule reinforcement significantly affects students' achievement in mathematics ( $t(99) = 14.33, p < .05$ ). This is consistent with Maisarah, (2024) who observed that reinforcement strategies can strengthen intrinsic motivation and support sustained academic improvement. Although raw mean scores suggested a limited direct influence, the statistical evidence indicates that fixed ratio reinforcement fosters persistence and improved performance. Brunstein, and Heckhausen, (2025) asserts that reinforcement enhances achievement motivation, while Fritscher (2019) emphasize its role in promoting task persistence and focus, skills crucial for mastering complex problem-solving in mathematics. However, Dewar (2018) again warns that reinforcement must be carefully balanced to avoid undermining intrinsic motivation.

#### Variable Ratio Schedule Reinforcement and Academic Achievement

Finally, the study found that variable ratio schedule reinforcement significantly influences students' mathematics achievement ( $t(99) = 14.48, p < .05$ ). This result is in line with Brunstein, and Heckhausen, (2025) who highlight reinforcement as a key determinant of motivation and learning performance. Dewar (2018) notes that variable ratio reinforcement, in particular, sustains student engagement and can lead to long-term academic gains. While reinforcement is a critical factor, Ramesh, (2022) point out that cognitive development and environmental conditions also shape learning outcomes. The findings here align with Gazzaniga and Heatherton's (2013) and Zajda, (2024) cognitive learning theory, suggesting that reinforcement not only shapes behaviour but also enhances cognitive engagement. These results underscore the effectiveness of variable ratio reinforcement in improving mathematics performance. However, the study suggests that reinforcement strategies should be integrated with other instructional and motivational approaches to optimize learning outcomes.

#### Summary of the Study

This study examined the influence of reinforcement schedules on students' academic achievement in mathematics among senior secondary school students in Aba North Local Government Area (LGA), Abia State. A descriptive survey design was employed, and a sample of 100 students was randomly selected from the study population. Data were collected using a researcher-developed instrument, the Reinforcement and Academic Achievement in Mathematics Questionnaire (RAAMQ), with a Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient of 0.80. Mathematics scores were obtained from teachers' records and assumed to be derived from valid and reliable assessments.

Four research questions and corresponding null hypotheses guided the study. Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) were used to address the research questions, while paired t-tests at the 0.05 significance level tested the

hypotheses. The results revealed that fixed interval, variable interval, fixed ratio, and variable ratio schedule reinforcement each exert a statistically significant influence on students' academic achievement in mathematics.

## **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION**

### **Conclusion**

Based on the findings, the study concludes that reinforcement, whether administered at fixed or variable intervals or ratios significantly enhances students' academic achievement in mathematics. The results underscore the importance of structured reinforcement strategies as effective pedagogical tools capable of improving motivation, engagement, and performance in mathematics.

### **Recommendations**

1. **Teacher Professional Development:** Teachers should receive continuous training on the application of reinforcement strategies in mathematics instruction. Such training should emphasize how reinforcement influences student learning and how teaching methods can be adapted to maximize its benefits.
2. **Student Engagement:** Students should be encouraged to actively engage in discussions about reinforcement strategies and how these affect their learning. Involving students in this process may enhance their motivation, participation, and understanding, leading to improved achievement in mathematics.
3. **Curriculum and Policy Implications:** Education policymakers should integrate reinforcement principles into curriculum design and teacher education programmes. Incorporating reinforcement techniques into mathematics curricula can promote more effective instruction. Additionally, sustained research on reinforcement and learning outcomes should be supported to inform evidence-based educational policy and practice

### **Suggestions for Further Research**

In light of the findings of this study, the following areas are recommended for future research:

1. Future studies could employ alternative research designs or methodologies to further examine the effects of reinforcement practices on students' academic achievement.
2. Replicating this study in other states or regions would enhance the generalizability of the findings.
3. Comparative studies could investigate the differential impact of reinforcement practices on students' academic achievement in public versus private secondary schools.
4. Further research should explore the distinct effects of positive and negative reinforcement on academic achievement in various school settings.

The perceived relevance of reinforcement in subjects beyond mathematics among junior secondary students in both public and private schools warrants further investigation.

**REFERENCES**

- Abd Algani, Y. M. (2022). Role, need and benefits of mathematics in the development of society. *Journal for the Mathematics Education and Teaching Practices*, 3(1), 23-29.
- Awofala, A. O. A., & Ojaleye, O. (2018). An exploration of preservice teachers' educational values of mathematics in relation to gender and attitudes toward mathematics in Nigeria. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 2(1), 1-15.
- Awofala, O. A. A., & Fatade, O. A. (2023). Nigerian students' poor performance in mathematics: who do we blame?. *Nigerian Online Journal of Educational Sciences and Technology*, 5(1), 80-91.
- Bonghawan, R. G. G., & Macalisang, D. (2024). Teachers' learning reinforcement: Effects on students' motivation, self efficacy and academic performance. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, 12(02), 3218-3228.
- Brunstein, J. C., & Heckhausen, H. (2025). Achievement motivation. In *Motivation and action*. Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Dewar, C.S. (2018). Caution-praise can be dangerous. In: Cauley KM, Pannozzo G, Editors. *Educational psychology. Annual Edition*. 17(2):132-143.
- Franks, C. M. (2017). Pavlovian conditioning approaches. In *Foundations of Behavioral Therapy*. Routledge.
- Fritscher, L. (2019) *Cognitive theory*. Schenkman Publishers.
- Gazzaniga, M. S., & Heatherton, T. F. (2013). *Psychological Science: Mind, Brain and Behaviour*, WW Norton.
- Groskreutz, M. (2021). Schedule of reinforcement. In *Encyclopedia of Autism Spectrum Disorders*. Springer International Publishing.
- Gupta, M. M., & Agrawal, A. (2025). *The integral role of mathematics in human development and innovation*. Chyren Publication.
- Hurtado, S., Carter, D. F., & Spuler, A. (2016). Latino student transition to college: Assessing difficulties and factors in successful college adjustment. *Research in Higher Education*, 37(2), 135-157.
- Ikechukwu, C.L. (2014). Assessment for the improvement of teaching and learning of Christian Religious Studies in Secondary Schools in Awgu Education Zone, Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 5(32), 35- 43.
- Iman, C. H., Apriani, R., Marpaung, D. S. H., & Arafat, M. R. (2025). Compulsory education as a fulfilment of children's right to education based on the principles of non-discrimination and the best interests of the child. *Kosmik Hukum*, 25(2), 319-335.
- Ladele, O. A., Abubakar, R. B., Ariba, O. T., & Ajani, T. O. (2024). A Systematic Review of the Training of Mathematics Teachers in Nigeria (1990-2020). *Mathematics Teacher Training and Development in Africa: Trends at Primary and Secondary School Levels*, 131-147.
- Lawal B. O (2012). Rewarded by punishment: Reflections on the disuse of positive reinforcement in schools. *Exceptional Children*. 67(2),173-186.
- Lawal B. O. (2010). Factors affecting academic achievement of students in senior school certificate examination (SSCE) in Christian Religious Knowledge. *African Research Review*, 4 (4), 420-433.
- Lawal, R. F. (2019). Effects of lesson study and team assisted individualization instructional strategies on senior secondary school students' learning outcomes in mathematics. Unpublished PhD Research Post-Field Report, Department of Science and Technology Education, University of Lagos, Nigeria.

- Lee, J., Xie, A., Pacchiano, A., Chandak, Y., Finn, C., Nachum, O., & Brunskill, E. (2023). Supervised pretraining can learn in-context reinforcement learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 43057-43083.
- Maisarah, M. (2024). Enhancing Children's Learning Motivation Through Positive Reinforcement: A Classroom Communication Strategy. *Jurnal Smart*, 10(1), 81-95.
- Muhammadiyah, M. U., Hamsiah, A., Muzakki, A., Nuramila, N., & Fauzi, Z. A. (2022). The role of the professional teacher as the agent of change for students. *Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 14(4), 6887-6896.
- Orok, U. N. & Nsit, A. (2025). Reinforcement strategies and academic performance of students in mathematics in secondary schools in Uyo local government area. *Gaspro International Journal of Eminent Scholars*, 12(1), 61-69.
- Pepin, B., Biehler, R., & Gueudet, G. (2021). Mathematics in engineering education: A review of the recent literature with a view towards innovative practices. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, 7(2), 163-188.
- Ramesh, S. (2022). Cognitive Development and Childhood Learning. In *The Political Economy of Human Behaviour and Economic Development: Psychology and Economic Development*. Springer International Publishing.
- Rury, J. L. (2023). *An age of accountability: How standardized testing came to dominate American schools and compromise education*. Rutgers University Press.
- Skinner, B. F. (1957). The experimental analysis of behaviour. *American scientist*, 45(4), 343-371.
- Sund, P., & Gericke, N. (2020). Teaching contributions from secondary school subject areas to education for sustainable development: A comparative study of science, social science and language teachers. *Environmental Education Research*, 26(6), 772-794.
- Tsafe, A. K., Abdulrahman, M., & Umar, S. (2025). Funding science and mathematics education in a depressed economy in Nigeria: Issues, challenges and the way forward. *Federal University Gusau Faculty of Education Journal*, 2(2), 17-24.
- Uzoka, R.N. (2018). *Educational psychology*. Erekwereawa. Hysab Prints and Publishers.
- Wong, Z. Y., Liem, G. A. D., Chan, M., & Datu, J. A. D. (2024). Student engagement and its association with academic achievement and subjective well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 116(1), 48.
- Zajda, J. (2024). Engagement, motivation, and students' achievement. In *Engagement, Motivation, and Students' Achievement*. Springer Nature Switzerland.